EXHIBIT 24

LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY S. WALTERS, LLC

3000 Atrium Way Suite 2201 Mount Laurel, NJ 08054 Telephone: (856) 552-1045 Telecopier: (856) 974-8859

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION

FRANK J, REED III and CHRISTINA A. REED,

BURLINGTON COUNTY

Plaintiff,

Docket No: BUR-L-1626-10

CIVIL ACTION

GMAC MORTGAGE LLC, RESIDENTIAL FUNDING CORP. and JOHN DOES 1-30, Individually, Jointly, Severally and in the alternative,

 $V_{\mathbf{u}}$

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendants.

SUPERIOR URLINGTON

Plaintiffs, whose post office address is 9717 Old Dell Trace, Richmond, VA 23238, by way of Complaint against the Defendants say:

FIRST COUNT

•

- 1. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC was a Limited Liability Company duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of one of the fifty states, and authorized to do business in the State of New Jersey, having an address of 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034.
- 2. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant Residential Funding Corp. was a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of one of the fifty states, and authorized to do business in the State of New Jersey, having an address of 1 Meridian Crossings Suite 100, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55423.

- 3. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendants John Doe 1-30 were individuals or entities who were employees, agents or subcontractors of the non-John Doe Defendants, or employees, agents or subcontractors of such agents or subcontractors, and who, as employees, agents or in any other capacity, were responsible for insuring that the non-John Doe Defendants were in compliance with their statutory duties, including but not limited to their duty to provide Plaintiffs with the "notice of intention" required by the Fair Foreclosure Act and to refrain from failing to provide Plaintiffs with the "notice of intention" required by the Fair Foreclosure Act.
- 4. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC was the owner of a mortgage executed by Plaintiffs which encumbered real property owned by Plaintiffs, said property being known as 817 Matlack Drive, Moorestown, New Jersey 08057 ("the Property").
 - 5. At the time the causes of action stated herein arose, Plaintiffs resided at the Property.
- 6. On or about December 30, 2009, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC transferred ownership of said mortgage to Defendant Residential Funding Corp.
- 7. The mortgage which encumbered the Property was a "Residential Mortgage" as that term is defined in the Fair Foreclosure Act, NJSA 2A:50-53 et seq.
- 8. Under the Fair Foreclosure Act, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC was statutorily prohibited from commencing any foreclosure action to take possession of the Property until Defendant provided Plaintiffs with a "notice of intention" in form and content as provided in the Fair Foreclosure Act, and over 30 days in advance of commencing any foreclosure action.
- 9. On or about May 19, 2008, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC filed a Complaint for Foreclosure in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, General Equity Part, Docket No. F-19177-08, seeking to foreclose Plaintiffs' equity of redemption in the Property.

- 10. On or about May 28, 2008, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC, through its agents, caused a Lis Pendens to be recorded with the Burlington County Clerk.
- 11. Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC failed to provide Plaintiffs with the "notice of intention" required by the Fair Foreclosure Act prior to filing its Complaint for Foreclosure on or about May 19, 2008.
- 12. At all times herein, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC had a statutory duty to refrain from filing a Complaint for Foreclosure against the Property and Plaintiffs until such time as Defendant properly served Plaintiffs with "notice of intention" required by the Fair Foreclosure Act.
- 13. At all times herein, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC had a duty to Plaintiffs to exercise care to refrain from violating Defendant's statutory duty as set forth above.
- 14. Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC failed to exercise the required standard of care, and negligently and/or recklessly filed a Complaint for Foreclosure and recorded a Lis Pendens against the Property and Plaintiffs without first discharging its statutory duty to provide a "notice of intention" as required by the Fair Foreclosure Act.
- 15. At the time Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC improperly and negligently filed its Complaint for Foreclosure and Lis Pendens, Plaintiffs were in the process of consummating financial transactions which, as a proximate result of Defendant's negligent and/or reckless conduct, were not consummated and which, but for Defendant's negligent and/or reckless conduct, would have been consummated.
- At all relevant times herein, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC knew or should have known that a foreclosure filing and Lis Pendens recording would severely compromise Plaintiffs' financial dealings, including but not limited to Plaintiffs' ability to obtain credit in the future.

17. As a direct and proximate result of said Defendant's negligent and/or reckless conduct, Plaintiffs suffered damages and continue to suffer damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for such sum of money as would reasonably and properly compensate Plaintiffs in accordance with the laws of the State of New Jersey, attorney's fees, costs, interest, and any other relief as the court may deem proper.

SECOND COUNT

- 1. The prior Count is referred to herein and made a part of this Count, but for the sake of brevity is not repeated in its entirety.
- 2. The financial transaction secured by the mortgage on the Property was a contractual agreement between Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC and Plaintiffs.
- The statutory obligation to provide the "notice of intention" required by the Fair Foreclosure Act was a term of the contractual agreement between Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC and Plaintiffs.
- 4. In failing to provide Plaintiffs with the "notice of intention" required by the Fair Foreclosure Act prior to filing its Complaint for Foreclosure on or about May 19, 2008, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC breached the aforesaid contractual agreement.
- 5. As a direct and proximate result of said Defendant's breach of the contractual agreement, Plaintiffs suffered damages and continue to suffer damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for such sum of money as would reasonably and properly compensate Plaintiffs in accordance with the laws of the State of New Jersey, attorney's fees, costs, interest, and any other relief as the court may deem proper.

THIRD COUNT

- 1. The prior Counts are referred to herein and made a part of this Count, but for the sake of brevity are not repeated in their entirety.
- 2. Defendant Residential Funding Corp., as the owner of the mortgage as of December 30, 2009, undertook liability for the actions of its predecessor-in-interest Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC as described herein, or is otherwise liable for the actions thereof as described herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for such sum of money as would reasonably and properly compensate Plaintiffs in accordance with the laws of the State of New Jersey, attorney's fees, costs, interest, and any other relief as the court may deem proper.

FOURTH COUNT

- 1. The prior Counts are referred to herein and made a part of this Count, but for the sake of brevity are not repeated in their entirety.
- 2. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendants John Doe 1-30 were individuals or entities who, as employees, agents or in any other capacity, were responsible for insuring that the non-John Doe Defendants were in compliance with their statutory duties, including but not limited to their duty to provide Plaintiffs with the "notice of intention" required by the Fair Foreclosure Act and to refrain from failing to provide Plaintiffs with the "notice of intention" required by the Fair Foreclosure Act.
- 3. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendants John Doe 1-30 committed certain actions and/or failed to take certain actions in a careless, negligent and reckless manner and thereby caused harm and injuries to the Plaintiffs.

4. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence, carelessness and recklessness of Defendants John Doe 1-30, and/or their employees and/or agents, Plaintiffs suffered damages and continue to suffer damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for such sum of money as would reasonably and properly compensate Plaintiffs in accordance with the laws of the State of New Jersey, attorney's fees, costs, interest, and any other relief as the court may deem proper.

FIFTH COUNT

- The prior Counts are referred to herein and made a part of this Count, but for the sake of brevity are not repeated in their entirety.
- 2. But for the negligent and reckless conduct of Defendants in prematurely filing a Complaint for Foreclosure and recording a Lis Pendens, Plaintiffs would have consummated a refinance transaction with another lender which would have paid off Defendant's mortgage.
- Defendants, by its/their negligent and reckless actions in prematurely filing a Complaint for Foreclosure and recording of a Lis Pendens, made it impossible for Plaintiffs to procure the funds to pay off Defendant's mortgage, and furthermore, destroyed Plaintiffs' credit and future ability to reinstate or pay off the mortgage loan as is permitted by the Fair Foreclosure Act.
- As a result of Defendants' actions in negligently and recklessly destroying Plaintiffs' credit and rendering Plaintiffs without the ability to take advantage of Plaintiffs' statutory rights, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC, its successor-in-interest Defendant Residential Funding Corp. and any other successor-in-interest should be estopped from instituting another foreclosure action against Plaintiffs and the Property.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants estopping them from instituting another foreclosure action against Plaintiffs and the Property, and for such sum of money as would reasonably and properly compensate Plaintiffs in accordance with the laws of the State of New Jersey, attorney's fees, costs, interest, and any other relief as the court may deem proper.

LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY S. WALTERS, LLC

Attorney for Plaintiffs

DATED: May 7, 2010

JEFFREY S. WALTERS

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to New Jersey Court Rule 4:25-4, Jeffrey S. Walters, Esq. is designated as trial counsel for the Plaintiffs in the above matter.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs demand trial by a jury on all of the triable issues of this complaint, pursuant to New Jersey Court Rules 1:8-2(b) and 4:35-1(a).

LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY S. WALTERS, LLC

Attorneys for Plainfiffs

Dated: May 7, 2010

JEFPREY S. WALTERS

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to New Jersey Court Rule 4:5-1, the plaintiffs hereby certify that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action pending in any court and is likewise not the subject of any pending arbitration proceeding, to the best of plaintiff's knowledge or belief. The plaintiffs further certify that plaintiffs have no knowledge of any contemplated action or arbitration proceeding regarding the subject matter of this action and that, other than the parties set forth in this pleading, the plaintiffs are not aware of any other parties who should be joined in this action. In addition, plaintiffs recognize the continuing obligation of each party to file and serve on all parties and the court an amended certification if there is a change in the facts stated in this original certification.

LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY S. WALTERS, LLC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: May 7, 2010

JEFFREY S. WALTERS